

Large classes of minimally supported frequency wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R})$

Nicola Arcozzi ^{*} Biswaranjan Behera [†] Shobha Madan [‡]

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We introduce a method to construct large classes of MSF wavelets of the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and symmetric MSF wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and discuss the classification of such sets. As application, we show that there are uncountably many wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. We also enumerate all symmetric wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with at most three intervals in the positive axis as well as 3-interval wavelet sets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Finally, we construct families of MSF wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform does not vanish in any neighbourhood of the origin.

Key words and phrases. Wavelet, MSF wavelet, wavelet set, H^2 -wavelet, H^2 -MSF wavelet, H^2 -wavelet set, interval wavelet set, MSF-polygonal.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42C40.

Notation. In this article, *measure* will always mean Lebesgue measure. All subsets of the real line we consider, are assumed to be Lebesgue measurable. With $|E|$ we denote the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set E in $\mathbb{R}$. We say that a relation between measurable sets *holds almost everywhere (a.e.)* if their characteristic functions are equal *a.e.* Thus, $A = B$ *a.e.* means that $\chi_A = \chi_B$ *a.e.*, and $\coprod_n A_n = A$ *a.e.* means that $\sum_n \chi_{A_n} = \chi_A$ *a.e.*, where $\coprod$ denotes the disjoint union.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of Bologna, Piazza di Porta San donata, 5; 40127, ITALY. Email: arcozzi@dm.unibo.it

[†]Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, INDIA. Current address: Stat-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road, Calcutta, 700108, India. Email: br_behera@yahoo.com Research supported by the National Board for Higher Mathematics, Govt. of India.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, INDIA. Email: madan@iitk.ac.in

1 Introduction

This article is an attempt to better understanding MSF (i.e., Minimally Supported Frequency) wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Our results have short and elementary proofs. They include geometric algorithms to construct MSF wavelets, and several new examples of MSF wavelets, a class of which answers in the negative a question of Eugenio Hernández. Another family of wavelets constructed provides a positive answer to a question of G. Garrigós regarding the existence of wavelets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with certain properties.

Recall that an *orthonormal wavelet* is a function $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\{\psi_{j,k} : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$\psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{j/2} \psi(2^j x - k).$$

The wavelet ψ is called an *MSF wavelet* if there exists a set K in $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\widehat{\psi}| = \chi_K. \quad (1)$$

In this case, we say that K is a *wavelet set*. As definition of Fourier transform, we use

$$\widehat{\psi}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(x) \exp(-2\pi i x \xi) dx$$

which is different from that used, for instance, in [HW], but which highlights the essentially number-theoretic nature of our considerations. To compare our sets with the ones in [HW], it suffices to multiply our subsets on the real line by a factor 2π .

The motivation for the name MSF is that orthonormal wavelets have the property that $|\text{supp } \widehat{\psi}| \geq 1$, with equality if and only if (1) holds *a.e.* for some measurable K in $\mathbb{R}$. If K is a wavelet set, all functions ψ such that

$$|\widehat{\psi}(\xi)| = \chi_K(\xi) \text{ a.e.}$$

are orthonormal wavelets. For these facts and the theorem below, see [HW], especially §7.2.

The wavelet sets admit a simple characterization in terms of two geometric conditions, that will be our starting point.

Theorem 1 ([HW], §7.2, Theorem 2.3). *Let K be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. K is a wavelet set if and only if the following are satisfied*

$$(T) \quad \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (K + n) = \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}$$

$$(D) \quad \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^n K = \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}$$

Conditions (T) and (D) of Theorem 1 specialize to the MSF case the four conditions that, in full generality, characterize the Fourier transform of an orthonormal wavelet. See [HW], Chapter 7, for a thorough discussion of this issue. As in the general theory, in (T) and (D) the additive (translation by integers) and the multiplicative (dilation by powers of 2) structure of the real line are competing.

Wavelet sets are plentiful and are perhaps beyond the possibility of a simple and complete classification. A natural subfamily of them is the class of *symmetric wavelet sets*, i.e., sets K such that

$$K \cap (-\infty, 0] = -(K \cap [0, \infty)). \quad (2)$$

From now on, we denote by K^- and K^+ , respectively, the sets appearing on the left and right hand side of (2). Symmetric wavelet sets are completely classified when K^+ is the union of finitely many disjoint intervals

$$K^+ = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n \quad (3)$$

and $n = 1, 2$ ([HKLS]). In this note, we present a method to construct large families of such sets for all $n \geq 1$.

One construction consists in associating symmetric wavelet sets to certain polygons having vertices in a dyadic lattice. In this way, we obtain, for each n , a family of wavelet sets that depends on a finite number of arbitrary parameters with values in the positive integers. For $n = 1, 2$, this provides a complete characterization of the symmetric wavelet sets. For $n = 1$, the only symmetric wavelet set is the *Shannon set*, for which $K^+ = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$. In the case $n = 2$, the symmetric wavelet sets form a family depending on one integer parameter, which was first identified in [HKLS].

In the case $n = 3$, the wavelet sets depend on several parameters, and they exhibit behaviours so far unnoticed. For instance, there exists a family of symmetric wavelet sets in which the endpoints of the intervals continuously depend on a real parameter. Hence, for $n = 3$, there are uncountably many symmetric wavelet sets. The sets in this family are those for which

$$K_a^+ = [a, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [1 - a, 2a] \cup [1, 2(1 - a)] \quad (4)$$

where $a \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2})$. In particular, there exist symmetric wavelet sets in which more than four endpoints *do not have* the form $\frac{p}{2^q}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. This answers in the negative a question of Eugenio Hernández.

Wavelets for the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$

The classical Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is the collection of all functions of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ whose Fourier transform is supported in $\mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty)$:

$$H^2(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : \hat{f}(\xi) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \xi \leq 0\}.$$

It is clear that $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. As in the case of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we can define a wavelet for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. A function $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is said to be a wavelet of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ if the system of functions

$$\{\psi_{j,k} := 2^{j/2}\psi(2^j \cdot -k) : j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

forms an orthonormal basis for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. Such a function ψ will be called an H^2 -wavelet. An example of an H^2 -wavelet is the function whose Fourier transform is the characteristic function of the interval $[1, 2]$. In fact, for a long time this was the only known H^2 -wavelet. P. Auscher [Aus] proved that if $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$, and $|\hat{\psi}(\xi)| = O((1 + |\xi|)^{-\alpha - \frac{1}{2}})$ at ∞ , for some $\alpha > 0$, then ψ cannot be an H^2 -wavelet. In particular, there is no band-limited H^2 -wavelet such that $|\hat{\psi}|$ is continuous (A function f is said to be band-limited if $\hat{f}$ has compact support).

An H^2 -wavelet ψ will be called an H^2 -MSF wavelet if $|\hat{\psi}| = \chi_K$ for some measurable subset K of $\mathbb{R}^+$. The associated set K , which has measure 1, will be called an H^2 -wavelet set. The following theorem characterizes all H^2 -wavelet sets.

Theorem 2. *A set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ is an H^2 -wavelet set if and only if*

$$(T') \quad \coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K + k) = \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.}$$

$$(D') \quad \coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j K = \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ a.e.}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 can be obtained from the corresponding theorem (Theorem 1) in the usual $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ case, with necessary modifications.

We shall call an H^2 -wavelet set an *interval H^2 -wavelet set* if it is the union of a finite number of intervals of $\mathbb{R}^+$. In [HKLS] the authors characterized all H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of at most two intervals. In fact, the only H^2 -wavelet set which is a single interval is $[1, 2]$, and those which are union of two disjoint intervals are the following sets:

$$\left[\frac{k+1}{2^{r+1}-1}, \frac{k}{2^r-1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^r k}{2^r-1}, \frac{2^{r+1}(k+1)}{2^{r+1}-1} \right],$$

where $r > 0$, $0 < k < 2(2^r - 1)$; $r, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2 we present a geometric construction for some symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In section 3 we discuss the problem of the complete classification of the symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, with some preliminary results. The geometric construction of section 2 is extended to the case of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ in section 4. In section 5 we prove a result on the structure of interval H^2 -wavelet sets. In section 6 we give some examples and applications. In particular, we characterize 3-interval H^2 -wavelet sets and

symmetric wavelet sets K of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ for which K^+ is a union of three disjoint intervals. We also show that there are uncountably many wavelet sets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. In the last section we construct three families of wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ having the origin as an accumulation point. These constructions show the existence of such wavelet sets which are (a) symmetric and unbounded (b) bounded and non-symmetric, and (c) bounded and symmetric.

Finally, let us note that the method presented here can be used to construct non-symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, as well. In this case, however, the construction only covers a very small family of the wavelet sets.

2 A geometric construction of symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we provide a geometric algorithm to construct symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which is summarized in Theorem 3.

In the first quadrant of the Cartesian plane, consider the set D of the points P such that, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$P \equiv P[\lambda, m] = (2^{-\lambda}, 2^{-\lambda}m).$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = (P_1 \dots, P_n)$ be an ordered sequence of points in D , $P_j = P[\lambda_j, m_j]$. For $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, let

$$a_j = -\frac{m_j 2^{-\lambda_j} - m_{j+1} 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}{2^{-\lambda_j} - 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}, \quad (5)$$

i.e., the negative of the slope of the straight line through P_j and P_{j+1} . We say that $\mathcal{P}$ is an *MSF polygonal* if

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad 4m_1 = 2^{-\lambda_n}(2m_n + 1), \quad (6)$$

and

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (7)$$

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an MSF polygonal as above. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, set*

$$I_j = [a_{j-1}, a_j] + m_j.$$

If $K^+ = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n$, then $K = K^+ \cup K^-$ is a symmetric wavelet set of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and it is the disjoint union of $2n$ intervals.

Call $K(\mathcal{P})$ the wavelet set associated to $\mathcal{P}$. If $\mathcal{P}_1 \neq \mathcal{P}_2$ are different polygonals, then $K(\mathcal{P}_1) \neq K(\mathcal{P}_2)$.

Remark 4. (1) Condition (6) can be expressed in the following way. If m_1 has the decomposition

$$m_1 = 2^s(2t + 1), \quad s, t \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

then

$$\lambda_n = -s - 2, \quad m_n = t.$$

In fact, by (6), $2^s(2t + 1) = m_1 = 2^{-\lambda_n-2}(2m_n + 1)$. In particular, there exists a bijection between the values of m_1 and the couples (P_1, P_n) that verify (6).

(2) Geometrically, condition (7) says that the straight lines $[P_1, P_2], [P_2, P_3], \dots, [P_{n-1}, P_n]$ must have negative, decreasing slopes in $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

Example 5. Consider, for instance, $n = 3$ and nonnegative integers s, t, v such that $t \geq 1$ and $2^v > (2t + 1)2^{s+2}$. Let

$$P_1 = P[0, 2^s(2t + 1)] = (1, 2^s(2t + 1)), \quad P_2 = P[-v, 0] = (2^v, 0),$$

and

$$P_3 = P[-s - 2, t] = (2^{s+2}, t2^{s+2}).$$

Then, (7) is satisfied with

$$a_1 = \frac{2^s(2t + 1)}{2^v - 1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{2^{s+2}t}{2^v - 2^{s+2}}.$$

Also (6) is satisfied, since $\lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_3 = -s - 2$, $m_1 = 2^s(2t + 1)$ and $m_3 = t$. We have, then, the wavelet set

$$\begin{aligned} K = K(s, t, v) = & \pm \left[2^s(2t + 1), \frac{2^{s+v}(2t + 1)}{2^v - 1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^s(2t + 1)}{2^v - 1}, \frac{2^{s+2}t}{2^v - 2^{s+2}} \right] \\ & \cup \left[\frac{2^v t}{2^v - 2^{s+2}}, \frac{2t + 1}{2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 3. It is clear that, if (7) holds, then $\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (K^+ + m)$ coincides a.e. with the set of the reals which are congruent to a number in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, modulo $\mathbb{Z}$. Condition (T) follows by symmetry. Let now $H_j = 2^{-\lambda_j} I_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then, H_j is adjacent to H_{j+1} , for $j = 1, \dots, n - 1$ since, by definition of a_j ,

$$2^{-\lambda_j}(a_j + m_j) = 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}(a_j + m_{j+1}).$$

Moreover, the right endpoint of H_n is twice the left endpoint of H_1 , by (6). Hence,

$$\coprod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^m K^+ = [0, \infty) \text{ a.e.}$$

As above, (D) follows by symmetry. □

3 Classifying symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

In this section, we propose a classification of the symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which is the basis of the construction given in section 2. To each n , to each n -tuple $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, and to each permutation $\tau \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, we associate a family $\mathcal{M}(n, \epsilon, \tau)$ of symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The main problem of the classification is to understand what families, among all, are not empty. A partial result is contained in Proposition 8.

Proposition 6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric set, with K^+ as in (3). Then, K is a wavelet set of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if there exist: (i) an n -tuple $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$; (ii) a permutation $\tau \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ on the set $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$; (iii) a vector $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of real numbers that satisfy (7); (iv) a vector $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ of nonnegative integers; (v) a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of integers; such that*

- (a) $I_j = \epsilon_j[a_{j-1}, a_j] + m_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$;
- (b) the intervals $H_k = [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, defined by $H_{\tau(j)} = 2^{-\lambda_j} I_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $H_n = I_n$, are such that $2\alpha_1 = \beta_n$ and $\beta_k = \alpha_{k+1}$, if $1 \leq k \leq n-1$;
- (c) ϵ and m satisfy the condition

$$\text{if } \epsilon_j = \epsilon_{j+1}, \text{ then } m_j \neq m_{j+1}. \quad (8)$$

Moreover, the wavelet sets relative to $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$ are made up of $2n$ disjoint intervals and different sets of data give different wavelet sets.

Proof. The argument is similar to that in [HKLS] and we just give its sketch. For a symmetric K , condition (T) is verified if and only if there are a , m and ϵ such that (7) and (a) hold. On the other hand, (D) holds if and only if some dyadic dilates of the I_j 's have an *a.e.* disjoint union of the form $[\alpha, 2\alpha]$, for some $\alpha > 0$. This is equivalent to the existence of λ and τ such that (b) holds. This proves necessity and sufficiency of (a) and (b).

Let now K be a symmetric wavelet set corresponding to a given $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^n$. Then, K^+ is made up of *at most* n disjoint intervals $I_1, \dots, I_n$. If two such intervals share a common endpoint, then there exist two numbers $0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that either $\alpha + j = \beta + k$, or $\alpha + j = -\beta + k$; or there exists j such that $\epsilon_j = \epsilon_{j+1}$ and $m_j = m_{j+1}$. The first case is impossible, the second was ruled out by (c). Hence, K^+ is made up of exactly n disjoint intervals. The uniqueness part of the last statement follows from this and the fact that the wavelet set is determined by a , ϵ and m . $\square$

Remark 7. (1) The set of data $(n, \epsilon, \tau, a, m, \lambda)$ in Proposition 6 is highly redundant. In fact, it is from this redundancy that we obtain the equations that must be satisfied by a , ϵ and m .

(2) Without condition (c), it might happen that two different sets of data give the same wavelet set. For instance, the Shannon set is given by both $(n, \epsilon, \tau, a, m, \lambda) = (1, (-1), I_0, (0, \frac{1}{2}), (1), (0))$ and $(n, \epsilon, \tau, a, m, \lambda) = (2, (-1, -1), I_1, (0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}), (1, 1), (0, 0))$, where I_0 is a dummy symbol standing for “permutation on the empty set”, and I_{n-1} is the identity function on the set $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\epsilon, \tau)$ be the family of wavelet sets relative to a given choice of (n, ϵ, τ) . If $(n, \epsilon, \tau) \neq (n', \epsilon', \tau')$, then $\mathcal{M}_n(\epsilon, \tau) \neq \mathcal{M}_{n'}(\epsilon', \tau')$.

(4) Let $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. Then $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$ is the family of wavelet sets considered in section 2.

The data give different information about the set K . The parameter a says how the classes of reals mod $\mathbb{Z}$ are divided into the $2n$ intervals of K , ϵ picks those that will be represented in K^+ , and m moves them in $[0, \infty)$.

Let $-\mathbf{1} = (-1, \dots, -1) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$. In view of Remark 7 (4) and Theorem 3, we might expect that the wavelet sets in $\mathcal{M}_n(-\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$ are associated to polygons in the dyadic plane D . This is in fact true, but the only wavelet set obtained in this way is the Shannon set.

Proposition 8. (1) $\mathcal{M}_1(-\mathbf{1}, I_0)$ contains only the Shannon set.

(2) If $n \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{M}_n(-\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$ is empty.

Proof. Let K be a subset of the real line. Then $K \in \mathcal{M}_n(-\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$, with, $K^+ = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n$, if and only if there exist numbers b_j ,

$$\frac{1}{2} = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_n = 1 \quad (9)$$

and $m_j \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that $I_j = [b_{j-1}, b_j] + m_j$ and numbers $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that, for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$2^{-\lambda_j}(b_j + m_j) = 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}(b_j + m_{j+1}).$$

Then, the b_j 's, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, are given, as in (5), by

$$b_j = -\frac{m_j 2^{-\lambda_j} - m_{j+1} 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}{2^{-\lambda_j} - 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}. \quad (10)$$

Moreover, we can let $\lambda_1 = 0$, and we have then the condition

$$2^{\lambda_n}(2m_1 + 1) = m_n + 1. \quad (11)$$

Let $n \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ be the ordered sequence of points in D given by $P_j = (2^{-\lambda_j}, 2^{-\lambda_j} m_j)$, with m and λ as defined above. Then, we say that $\mathcal{P}$ is an *MSF(-) polygonal*. Thus, there is a bijection between MSF(-) polygonals with n vertices and wavelet sets in $\mathcal{M}_n(-\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$.

Condition (11) can be written as follows. Let $x_n = 2^{-\lambda_n}$, $y_n = m_n 2^{-\lambda_n}$. Then,

$$x_n + y_n = 2m_1 + 1.$$

If $n = 1$, we just have to find $\mathcal{P} = (P_1)$ such that

$$2m_1 + 1 = m_1 + 1$$

which corresponds to the Shannon wavelet. This proves part (1) of the proposition.

Let $n \geq 2$. We show that there are no MSF(-) polygonals. Choose P_1 and P_n such that (11) holds. Let $O = (0, 0)$, $A = (2m_1 + 1, 0)$ and $B = (0, 2m_1 + 1)$. Then, $P_1 = (1, m_1)$ is an interior point of the triangle AOB and P_n belongs to AB , the straight line through A and B , by (11).

For each couple P, Q of distinct points, let $s(PQ)$ be the absolute value of PQ 's slope. Hence $s(P_j P_{j+1}) \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Let $<$ be the ordering on the line AB for which $B < A$. Now, $s(P_1, A) = \frac{1}{2}$, hence, P_2 still belongs to AOB and $P_1 P_2$ intersects AB in a point $Q_1 > A$ having negative ordinate. Since $\frac{1}{2} < s(P_1, P_2) < s(P_2, P_3) < 1$, $P_2 P_3$ intersects AB in a point $Q_2 > Q_1$. Inductively, if $P_j P_{j+1}$ intersects AB in Q_j , we have $Q_1 < Q_2 < \dots < Q_j$, hence all Q_j 's have negative ordinates. But, $P_{n-1} P_n$ intersects the line AB at P_n which has positive ordinate, since $n \geq 2$. This contradiction shows that there are no MSF(-) polygonals. $\square$

4 A geometric construction of H^2 -wavelet sets

In this section, we extend the geometric construction of section 2 for constructing some H^2 -wavelet sets. We again consider the set D , in the first quadrant of the Cartesian plane, of points P such that

$$P \equiv P[\lambda, m] = (2^{-\lambda}, 2^{-\lambda} m), \quad \text{where } m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P_j = P[\lambda_j, m_j]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ($m_j \neq m_{j+1}$, $m_0 \neq m_n + 1$), and $\tilde{P}_n = P[\lambda_n + 1, m_n + 1]$. Without loss of generality, we can take $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $m_1 = 0$. Observe that $\tilde{P}_n$ is uniquely determined once P_n is given. Define the points a_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ as follows:

$$a_0 = -\frac{(m_n + 1)2^{-(\lambda_n + 1)}}{2^{-(\lambda_n + 1)} - 1}, \quad (12)$$

$$a_j = -\frac{m_j 2^{-\lambda_j} - m_{j+1} 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}{2^{-\lambda_j} - 2^{-\lambda_{j+1}}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad (13)$$

and

$$a_n = a_0 + 1. \quad (14)$$

That is, a_0 is the negative of the slope of the straight line joining P_1 and $\tilde{P}_n$, and a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$) is the negative of the slope of the straight line through P_j and P_{j+1} . The ordered sequence of points $\mathcal{P} = (P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is said to be an H^2 -MSF polygonal if the points a_j ($j = 0, 1, \dots, n$) satisfy

$$0 < a_0 < 1 \quad (15)$$

and

$$a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = a_0 + 1. \quad (16)$$

Theorem 9. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an H^2 -MSF polygonal as above. Let*

$$I_j = [a_{j-1}, a_j] + m_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then $K = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n$ is an H^2 -wavelet set and it is the disjoint union of n intervals.

Denote the H^2 -wavelet set associated to $\mathcal{P}$ by $K(\mathcal{P})$. If $\mathcal{P}_1 \neq \mathcal{P}_2$ are different polygonals, then $K(\mathcal{P}_1) \neq K(\mathcal{P}_2)$.

The proof of the above theorem is similar to the L^2 case (see Theorem 3) and can be omitted.

Example 10. Let $n = 2$. Consider $\lambda_1 = 0, m_1 = 0, \lambda_2 = r$ and $m_2 = k$, where r and k are integers. Then we have

$$P_1 = (1, 0), \quad P_2 = (2^{-r}, 2^{-r}k) \text{ and } \tilde{P}_2 = (2^{-(r+1)}, 2^{-(r+1)}(k+1)).$$

This gives us

$$a_0 = \frac{k+1}{2^{r+1}-1}, \quad a_1 = \frac{k}{2^r-1} \text{ and } a_2 = a_0 + 1 = \frac{k+2^{r+1}}{2^{r+1}-1}.$$

In order to prove that (P_1, P_2) is an H^2 -MSF polygonal, we have to verify (15) and (16). Inequality (15) is equivalent to $0 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$ whereas (16) is trivially satisfied. The condition $m_2 \neq m_1$ then gives us $1 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$. Now

$$I_1 = [a_0, a_1] + m_1 = \left[\frac{k+1}{2^{r+1}-1}, \frac{k}{2^r-1} \right]$$

and

$$I_2 = [a_1, a_2] + m_2 = \left[\frac{2^r k}{2^r - 1}, \frac{2^{r+1}(k+1)}{2^{r+1} - 1} \right].$$

Then

$$K = \left[\frac{k+1}{2^{r+1} - 1}, \frac{k}{2^r - 1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^r k}{2^r - 1}, \frac{2^{r+1}(k+1)}{2^{r+1} - 1} \right]$$

is an H^2 -wavelet set, where $r \geq 1$, $1 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$. These examples, in fact, exhaust all H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of two disjoint intervals (see introduction).

5 Interval wavelet sets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$

The classification of interval wavelet sets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, analogous to that in Proposition 6, is much simpler. In this case we prove the following.

Proposition 11. *Let $I_r = [p_r, q_r]$, $0 \leq r \leq m$ and $K = I_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_m$, with $0 < p_0 < q_0 < p_1 < q_1 < \dots < p_m < q_m$. Then K is a wavelet set for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if*

- (i) $I_0 \cup (I_{\rho(1)} - k_1) \cup (I_{\rho(2)} - k_2) \cup \dots \cup (I_{\rho(m)} - k_m) = [p_0, p_0 + 1]$, for some permutation $\rho \in \Sigma_m$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that the right endpoint of each interval is equal to the left endpoint of the next interval; and
- (ii) $I_0 \cup (2^{-r_1} I_{\sigma(1)}) \cup (2^{-r_2} I_{\sigma(2)}) \cup \dots \cup (2^{-r_m} I_{\sigma(m)}) = [p_0, 2p_0]$, for some permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$, $r_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that the right endpoint of each interval is equal to the left endpoint of the next interval.

Proof. Suppose K is as in the hypothesis and (i) and (ii) hold. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K + k) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{i=0}^m (I_i + k) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\{I_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m (I_{\rho(i)} - k_i)\} + k \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{[p_0, p_0 + 1] + k\} \\ &= \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $\{K + k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a pairwise disjoint collection. Similarly, $\cup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j K) = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\{2^j K : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Hence, K is a wavelet set for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, by Theorem 2.

Conversely, suppose $K = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_m$ is an H^2 -wavelet set, where $I_r = [p_r, q_r]$, $0 \leq r \leq m$. So, (T') and (D') of Theorem 2 are satisfied. Note that for each r , $1 \leq r \leq m$, there is a unique $k_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p_r - k_r \in [p_0, p_0 + 1]$. But, $p_r - k_r \notin [p_0, q_0]$. Otherwise, $I_0 \cap (I_r - k_r)$ will contain the interval $[p_r - k_r, q_0]$ if $q_0 \leq q_r - k_r$, or the interval $[p_r - k_r, q_r - k_r]$ if $q_r - k_r \leq q_0$. This will be a contradiction to (T'). So, $p_r - k_r \in [q_0, p_0 + 1]$. Note that $k_r \geq 0$ as $p_r > q_0$.

Claim. $I_r - k_r = [p_r, q_r] - k_r \subset [q_0, p_0 + 1]$

If not, then $q_0 \leq p_r - k_r < p_0 + 1 < q_r - k_r$. That is, $p_r - k_r - 1 < p_0 < q_r - k_r - 1$. But, this implies that $I_0 \cap (I_r - k_r - 1)$ contains the interval $[p_0, q_r - k_r - 1]$ if $q_r - k_r - 1 \leq q_0$, or the interval $[p_0, q_0]$ if $q_0 \leq q_r - k_r - 1$. In either case, (T') is violated. So, the claim is proved.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K + k) &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{r=0}^m (I_r + k) \\ &= \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ (I_0 \cup (I_1 - k_1) \cup \dots \cup (I_m - k_m)) + k \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

If there is no r , $1 \leq r \leq m$ such that $q_0 = p_r - k_r$, then $(I_1 - k_1) \cup \dots \cup (I_m - k_m)$ will be properly contained in $[q_0, p_0 + 1]$. In fact, the set $[p_0, p_0 + 1] \setminus \{I_0 \cup (I_1 - k_1) \cup \dots \cup (I_m - k_m)\}$ will have positive measure which, in turn, will show that (17) cannot be an *a.e.* partition of $\mathbb{R}$. So, there is an index r , $1 \leq r \leq m$ such that $q_0 = p_r - k_r$. Further, such an index is unique. For, if there exist r, s with $1 \leq r, s \leq m$ such that $q_0 = p_r - k_r = p_s - k_s$, then $(I_r - k_r) \cap (I_s - k_s)$ will contain an interval which will again contradict (T').

Hence, there is exactly one index i_1 such that $q_0 = p_{i_1} - k_1$, $1 \leq i_1 \leq m$ and $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Now, $I_0 \cup (I_{i_1} - k_1) = [p_0, q_1 - k_1] \subset [p_0, p_0 + 1]$. Arguing as above (the role of q_0 is now taken by $q_1 - k_1$), there is exactly one index i_2 such that

$$q_{i_1} - k_1 = p_{i_2} - k_2, \quad 1 \leq i_2 \leq m, \quad i_2 \neq i_1, \quad k_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Similarly,

$$q_{i_2} - k_2 = p_{i_3} - k_3, \quad 1 \leq i_3 \leq m, \quad i_3 \neq i_1, i_2, \quad k_3 \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

$\vdots$

$$q_{i_{m-1}} - k_{m-1} = p_{i_m} - k_m, \quad 1 \leq i_m \leq m, \quad i_m \neq i_1, \dots, i_{m-1}, \quad k_m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Now, $q_{i_m} - k_m$ has to coincide with $p_0 + 1$. Otherwise $[p_0, p_0 + 1] \setminus \{I_0 \cup (I_{i_1} - k_1) \cup \dots \cup (I_{i_m} - k_m)\}$ will have positive measure which will contradict (T'). So, we have proved (i) of the theorem. By considering dilations by powers of 2 of the intervals I_r and making use of the partition (D') of $\mathbb{R}^+$, we can prove (ii) in a similar manner. $\square$

6 Applications

In this section, we discuss some applications of the methods outlined in the previous sections.

6.1 $n = 2, 3$

We give an alternative proof of a theorem in [HKLS] and a subfamily of $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{1}, I_2)$ that, together with the one in Example 5, exhaust $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{1}, I_2)$.

Proposition 12. *A symmetric wavelet set K has its positive part consisting of two disjoint intervals if and only if*

$$K^+ = \left[2^l, \frac{2^{2l+2}}{2^{l+2}-1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^l}{2^{l+2}-1}, \frac{1}{2} \right]$$

for some integer $l \geq 0$. In particular, the set of the symmetric wavelet sets with $n = 2$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbf{1}, I_1)$.

Proof. Observe that, for $n = 2$ as for $n = 1$, the permutations play no role. We only have to consider four cases for ϵ . When $\epsilon = (-1, -1)$, we have no symmetric wavelet set, by Proposition 8. For $\epsilon = (1, -1)$ and $\epsilon = (-1, 1)$, elementary arguments show that there are no symmetric wavelet sets, and so we are left with $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbf{1}, I_1)$. We have to look for MSF polygonals $\mathcal{P} = (P_1, P_2)$. But condition (6) completely determines P_2 , if P_1 is given. If $P_1 = (1, 2^l(2t+1))$, then in order for (7) to hold, we must have $t = 0$, hence

$$P_1 = (1, 2^l), \quad P_2 = (2^{l+2}, 0).$$

Hence,

$$a_1 = \frac{2^l}{2^{l+2}-1}, \quad m_1 = 2^l, \quad m_2 = 0.$$

From Theorem 3, we obtain the family in the statement of the proposition. $\square$

We have seen in section 2 a three parameter family of symmetric wavelet sets which is contained in $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{1}, I_2)$. Another three parameter family can be constructed as follows. Let s, u, v be integers, $s \geq 0$, $u > 0$ and $v > 0$, such that

$$2^{s+u} < v < (2^{s+u} - 1) \frac{2^s}{2^s - 1}. \quad (18)$$

Let

$$P_1 = (1, 2^s), \quad P_2 = (2^{-u}, v2^{-u}), \quad P_3 = (2^{s+2}, 0).$$

Then, (P_1, P_2, P_3) is an MSF polygonal. The corresponding wavelet set is

$$K = K(s, u, v) = \pm \left[2^s, \frac{v - 2^s}{2^u - 1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{2^u v - 2^{s+u}}{2^u - 1}, \frac{v 2^{s+u+2}}{2^{s+u+2} - 1} \right] \cup \left[\frac{v}{2^{s+u+2} - 1}, \frac{1}{2} \right].$$

Condition (18) ensures that (7) holds, while (6) holds by our choice of P_1 and P_3 . It is easy to verify that this family and the one in section 2 exhaust the wavelet sets in $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbf{1}, I_2)$.

6.2 3-interval H^2 -wavelet sets

Proposition 11 allows us to give a complete list of wavelet sets of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ which are union of three disjoint intervals. We shall see in the next subsection that there are in fact uncountably many H^2 -wavelet sets.

In view of Proposition 11, we have the following result for a 3-interval H^2 -wavelet set.

Corollary 13. *Let $K = [p_1, q_1] \cup [p_2, q_2] \cup [p_3, q_3]$ such that $0 < p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < p_3 < q_3$. Then, K is a wavelet set for $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if for some non-negative integers r, s, k, l*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \text{ either [T1] : } & [p_1, q_1] \cup ([p_2, q_2] - k) \cup ([p_3, q_3] - l) = [p_1, p_1 + 1] \\ & \text{with } q_1 = p_2 - k, q_2 - k = p_3 - l, q_3 - l = p_1 + 1 \\ & \text{or [T2] : } [p_1, q_1] \cup ([p_3, q_3] - l) \cup ([p_2, q_2] - k) = [p_1, p_1 + 1] \\ & \text{with } q_1 = p_3 - l, q_3 - l = p_2 - k, q_2 - k = p_1 + 1, \\ \text{and (2) either [D1] : } & [p_1, q_1] \cup 2^{-r}[p_2, q_2] \cup 2^{-s}[p_3, q_3] = [p_1, 2p_1] \\ & \text{with } q_1 = 2^{-r}p_2, 2^{-r}q_2 = 2^{-s}p_3, 2^{-s}q_3 = 2p_1 \\ & \text{or [D2] : } [p_1, q_1] \cup 2^{-s}[p_3, q_3] \cup 2^{-r}[p_2, q_2] = [p_1, 2p_1] \\ & \text{with } q_1 = 2^{-s}p_3, 2^{-s}q_3 = 2^{-r}p_2, 2^{-r}q_2 = 2p_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in order to characterize all H^2 -wavelet sets consisting of three disjoint intervals, we have to consider each of the four cases (Ti,Dj), $i, j = 1, 2$, and determine the values of the non-negative integers r, s, k, l such that the corresponding relations (Ti,Dj) hold.

THE CASE (T1,D1)

We have $K = [p_1, q_1] \cup [p_2, q_2] \cup [p_3, q_3]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= p_2 - k, q_2 - k = p_3 - l, q_3 - l = p_1 + 1, \\ q_1 &= 2^{-r}p_2, 2^{-r}q_2 = 2^{-s}p_3, 2^{-s}q_3 = 2p_1. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Since $q_1 < p_2$, it is necessary that $k \geq 1$. Now, $q_2 - k = p_3 - l \Rightarrow p_3 - q_2 = l - k$. Since $p_3 > q_2$, we have $l > k$. Thus, $l > k \geq 1$. Similarly, $s > r \geq 1$.

Solving the equations (19) for p_i 's and q_i 's, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{l+1}{2^{s+1}-1}, & q_1 &= \frac{k}{2^r-1}, \\ p_2 &= \frac{2^r k}{2^r-1}, & q_2 &= \frac{l-k}{2^{s-r}-1}, \\ p_3 &= \frac{2^{s-r}(l-k)}{2^{s-r}-1}, & q_3 &= \frac{2^{s+1}(l+1)}{2^{s+1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have to ensure that $0 < p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < p_3 < q_3$. Clearly, $p_1 > 0, q_1 < p_2$ and $q_2 < p_3$. The conditions $p_1 < q_1, p_2 < q_2$ and $p_3 < q_3$ are equivalent to the following inequalities.

- (i) $(2^r - 1)l < (2^{s+1} - 1)k - (2^r - 1),$
- (ii) $(2^r - 1)l > (2^s - 1)k,$
- (iii) $(2^{r+1} - 1)l < (2^{s+1} - 1)k + 2(2^s - 2^r).$

It is easy to see that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). So, we have to consider only (ii) and (iii). Eliminating l from (ii) and (iii), we get $0 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$.

Thus, to get all the wavelet sets in this case, we proceed as follows: Fix an integer $r \geq 1$, then we have to consider only those integers k such that $1 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$. Consider any such k . By taking $s \geq r + 1$, we determine all integers l satisfying (ii) and (iii). Then any such combination of r, k, s and l will give rise to an H^2 -wavelet set.

For example, let $r = 1$, then $k = 1$. If $s = 2$, then (ii) and (iii) give us $l > 3$ and $3l < 11$. This implies $l \geq 4$ and $l \leq 3$. So, there is no integer l satisfying (ii) and (iii). But if we take $s = 3$, then (ii) and (iii) imply $l > 7$ and $3l < 27$. This gives $l = 8$. Hence, $r = 1, k = 1, s = 3$ and $l = 8$ give rise to an H^2 -wavelet set. The corresponding wavelet set is

$$[\frac{3}{5}, 1] \cup [2, \frac{7}{3}] \cup [\frac{28}{3}, \frac{48}{5}].$$

If $s = 4$, then we get, $l > 15$ and $3l < 59 \Rightarrow l = 16, 17, 18, 19$. So if we take $r = 1, k = 1, s = 4$, we get H^2 -wavelet sets for each of the l 's; $l = 16, 17, 18, 19$. The wavelet set corresponding to $r = 1, k = 1, s = 4$ and $l = 16$ is

$$[\frac{17}{31}, 1] \cup [2, \frac{15}{7}] \cup [\frac{120}{7}, \frac{544}{31}].$$

A short table of (r, k, l, s) is given in Table 1.

THE CASE (T2,D2)

We have $K = [p_1, q_1] \cup [p_2, q_2] \cup [p_3, q_3]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= p_3 - l, q_3 - l = p_2 - k, q_2 - k = p_1 + 1, \\ q_1 &= 2^{-s} p_3, 2^{-s} q_3 = 2^{-r} p_2, 2^{-r} q_2 = 2p_1. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Examining the relations among p_i 's and q_i 's as in the case (T1,D1), we have, $l > k \geq 0$ and $s > r \geq 0$. Solving the equations (20) for p_i 's and q_i 's, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{k+1}{2^{r+1}-1}, & q_1 &= \frac{l}{2^s-1}, \\ p_2 &= \frac{l-k}{2^{s-r}-1}, & q_2 &= \frac{2^{r+1}(k+1)}{2^{r+1}-1}, \\ p_3 &= \frac{2^s l}{2^s-1}, & q_3 &= \frac{2^{s-r}(l-k)}{2^{s-r}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, we have to ensure that $0 < p_1 < q_1 < p_2 < q_2 < p_3 < q_3$. Clearly, $0 < p_1$. Since $r+1 \leq s$, we get $p_1 < q_1 \Rightarrow q_2 < p_3$. Also, $p_3 < q_3 \Rightarrow q_1 < p_2$. So, we have to consider the inequalities $p_1 < q_1, p_2 < q_2, p_3 < q_3$. These conditions are equivalent to the following inequalities:

- (i) $(2^{r+1} - 1)l > (2^s - 1)(k + 1)$,
- (ii) $(2^{r+1} - 1)l < (2^{s+1} - 1)k + 2(2^s - 2^r)$,
- (iii) $(2^r - 1)l > (2^s - 1)k$.

If $k = 0$, then (iii) is trivially satisfied and we have to consider only (i) and (ii), and if $k > 0$, then (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). So, one has to consider (ii) and (iii). Conditions (ii) and (iii) imply $k < 2(2^r - 1)$. If $r = 0$ then $k < 0$, which is not possible. Thus, to get all H^2 -wavelet sets in this case, we proceed as follows:

Fix $r \geq 1$ and consider all k 's such that $0 \leq k < 2(2^r - 1)$. Take $s > r$. If $k = 0$, determine all l satisfying (i) and (ii); and if $k > 0$, then determine all l which satisfy (ii) and (iii).

For example, $r = 1, k = 0, s = 2$ does not give any wavelet set. But if we take $r = 1, k = 0$ and $s = 3$, then we get $l = 3$. The corresponding wavelet set is

$$[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{7}] \cup [1, \frac{4}{3}] \cup [\frac{24}{7}, 4].$$

Observe that when $k > 0$, the inequalities to be considered are same as in the case (T1,D1). So, the table for (T1,D1) also works for (T2,D2) though we will get different wavelet sets. A short table for the case $k = 0$ is given in Table 2.

THE CASE (T2,D1)

In this case, we have $s > r > 0$ and $l > k \geq 0$. Solving the equations [T2] and [D1] of Corollary 13 for p_i 's and q_i 's, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 &= \frac{1}{2^s} [(2^s - 1)k - (2^r - 1)l + 2^s], \\ q_1 &= \frac{1}{2^r} [(2^{s+1} - 1)k - (2^{r+1} - 1)l + 2^{s+1}], \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2 &= (2^{s+1} - 1)k - (2^{r+1} - 1)l + 2^{s+1}, \\
q_2 &= \frac{1}{2^s}[(2^{s+1} - 1)k - (2^r - 1)l + 2^{s+1}], \\
p_3 &= \frac{1}{2^r}[(2^{s+1} - 1)k - (2^r - 1)l + 2^{s+1}], \\
q_3 &= 2[(2^s - 1)k - (2^r - 1)l + 2^s].
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $q_1 < p_2$ and $q_2 < p_3$. The conditions $0 < p_1$, $p_1 < q_1$, $p_2 < q_2$ and $p_3 < q_3$ are equivalent to the following inequalities:

- (i) $(2^s - 1)k + 2^s > (2^r - 1)l$,
- (ii) $[2^s(2^{s+1} - 1) - 2^r(2^s - 1)]k + (2^{2s+1} - 2^{r+s}) > [2^s(2^{r+1} - 1) - 2^r(2^r - 1)]l$,
- (iii) $(2^s - 1)(2^{s+1} - 1)k + (2^{2s+1} - 2^{s+1}) < [2^s(2^{r+1} - 1) - (2^r - 1)]l$,
- (iv) $[2^{r+1}(2^s - 1) - (2^{s+1} - 1)]k + 2^{s+1}(2^r - 1) > (2^r - 1)(2^{r+1} - 1)l$.

One can show that (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). So, we have to consider only (ii) and (iii). Also, as in the previous cases, eliminating l from (iii) and (iv), we get $k < 2(2^r - 1)$.

To get all wavelet sets in this case, we apply a similar procedure adopted in the case (T1,D1). See Table 3 for some acceptable values of (r, k, s, l) .

THE CASE (T1,D2)

Here we have, $s > r \geq 0$, $l > k \geq 1$. Solving [T1] and [D2] for p_i 's and q_i 's, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= \frac{1}{2^r}[(2^r - 1)l - (2^s - 1)k + 2^r], \\
q_1 &= \frac{1}{2^s}[(2^{r+1} - 1)l - (2^{s+1} - 1)k + 2^{r+1}], \\
p_2 &= \frac{1}{2^s}[(2^{r+1} - 1)l - (2^s - 1)k + 2^{r+1}], \\
q_2 &= 2[(2^r - 1)l - (2^s - 1)k + 2^r], \\
p_3 &= (2^{r+1} - 1)l - (2^{s+1} - 1)k + 2^{r+1}, \\
q_3 &= \frac{1}{2^r}[(2^{r+1} - 1)l - (2^s - 1)k + 2^{r+1}].
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $q_1 < p_2$. The inequality $q_2 < p_3$ holds if $p_1 < q_1$. Now, the conditions $0 < p_1$, $p_1 < q_1$, $p_2 < q_2$ and $p_3 < q_3$ are equivalent to the following inequalities :

- (i) $(2^r - 1)l + 2^r > (2^s - 1)k$,
- (ii) $[2^s(2^r - 1) - 2^r(2^{r+1} - 1)]l + (2^{r+s} - 2^{2r+1}) < [2^s(2^s - 1) - 2^r(2^{s+1} - 1)]k$,
- (iii) $[2^{s+1}(2^r - 1) - (2^{r+1} - 1)]l + 2^{r+1}(2^s - 1) > (2^s - 1)(2^{s+1} - 1)k$,
- (iv) $(2^r - 1)(2^{r+1} - 1)l + 2^{r+1}(2^r - 1) < [2^r(2^{s+1} - 1) - (2^s - 1)]k$.

The coefficient of l in (ii) is negative if and only if $s = r + 1$, in which case (ii) is trivially satisfied. If this coefficient is non-negative, then it can be shown that (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Also, it can be shown that (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). So, we need only (iii) and (iv). Since (iii) and (iv) imply that $k < 2(2^r - 1)$, the case $r = 0$ is ruled out because $k \geq 1$. Examples of few acceptable values of (r, k, s, l) are given in Table 4.

6.3 There are uncountably many wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R})$

When $n = 2$, there are countably many symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. As we have seen in §6.1, they are disjoint union of intervals whose endpoints have the form $\frac{p}{2^q-1}$, for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$. All examples of symmetric wavelet sets, even for $n > 2$, found in the literature have endpoints of the above form. In fact all known examples, with the exception of the Shannon set, belong to the class $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{1}, I_{n-1})$, for some n , and then, by the results in section 2, they have endpoints of this particular form. The class of symmetric wavelet sets, however, is much richer. In fact, for $n = 3$, we exhibit a family of symmetric wavelet sets which depends on a real parameter. Hence, there are uncountably many symmetric wavelet sets and, in particular, their endpoints do not need to be of the form $\frac{p}{2^q-1}$.

Theorem 14. $\mathcal{M}_3((1, -1, 1), I_2)$ contains the family $\{K_a : a \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{1}{3} < a < \frac{1}{2}\}$, where

$$K_a^+ = [a, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [1 - a, 2a] \cup [1, 2(1 - a)]. \quad (21)$$

Moreover, these wavelet sets are associated to MRA wavelets.

In particular, there exist symmetric wavelet sets other than the Shannon set, whose endpoints are all dyadic rational. For instance,

$$K_{3/8}^+ = \left[\frac{3}{8}, \frac{4}{8}\right] \cup \left[\frac{5}{8}, \frac{6}{8}\right] \cup \left[\frac{8}{8}, \frac{10}{8}\right].$$

Another such wavelet set is the one which corresponds to $a = \frac{7}{16}$. We might think of these sets as “wavelet sets on the integers”.

Proof. Subtracting 1 from the second and third interval in (21), and leaving the first as it is, we obtain three intervals whose union is

$$H = [a, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [-a, -(1 - 2a)] \cup [0, 1 - 2a].$$

Now, $H \coprod (-H) = [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ a.e., hence (T) holds. Observe that we have (7) with $a_1 = 1 - 2a$ and $a_2 = a$.

On the other hand, multiplying in (21) the first by 4 and the second by 2, we obtain *a.e.* disjoint intervals whose union is $[1, 2]$, hence, (D) holds, as well.

A necessary and sufficient condition for a wavelet set K to be associated to an MRA wavelet is the following ([FW], Theorem 3.22). Let K be a wavelet set and

$$K^s = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} (2^{-j}K).$$

By (D), the union is disjoint *a.e.* and, by (T), $|K^s| = 1$. Then, K is associated to an MRA wavelet if and only if

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K^s + k) = \mathbb{R} \text{ a.e.} \quad (22)$$

A direct verification shows that

$$K_a^s = [a - 1, -\frac{1}{2}] \cup [-a, a] \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1 - a] \text{ a.e.}$$

Adding 1 to the first interval and -1 to the third, we obtain three intervals whose *a.e.* disjoint union is $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, hence (22) holds. $\square$

The way the sets in (21) were found is the following. Classes of wavelet sets like $\mathcal{M}_3((1, -1, 1), I_2)$ seem to be promising places to look for unusual wavelet sets, because a_1 and a_2 satisfy a system of linear equations without zero coefficients. The family in (21) is one of those for which the determinant associated to the system vanishes.

In all the four cases of the 3-interval H^2 -wavelet sets (see § 6.2), we observed that the systems of linear equations that determine the endpoints of the intervals have unique solutions. Moreover, the endpoints depend upon integer parameters. This fact, in particular, shows that there are countably many 3-interval H^2 -wavelet sets.

We now show that there are uncountably many H^2 -MSF wavelets by constructing a family of 4-interval H^2 -wavelet sets such that some of the endpoints continuously depend on a real parameter.

Theorem 15. *For $\frac{1}{2} < c < 1$, let $K_c = I_1 \cup I_2 \cup I_3 \cup I_4$, where*

$$I_1 = [1, 2c], I_2 = [2c + 2, c + 3], I_3 = [c, \frac{c+1}{2}], \text{ and } I_4 = [\frac{c+3}{2}, 2].$$

Then, K_c is an H^2 -wavelet set.

Proof. The conditions on c imply that I_i 's are nonempty intervals. Subtracting 1 from the intervals I_1 and I_4 , 3 from I_2 , and leaving I_3 as it is, we get four intervals whose *a.e.* disjoint union is $[0, 1]$. That is,

$$(I_1 - 1) \cup (I_2 - 3) \cup I_3 \cup (I_4 - 1)$$

$$= [0, 2c - 1] \cup [2c - 1, c] \cup [c, \frac{c+1}{2}] \cup [\frac{c+1}{2}, 1] = [0, 1].$$

Therefore, $\coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K_c + k) = \mathbb{R}$ a.e. Now, multiplying I_2 by 2^{-1} and I_3 by 2, we get intervals whose a.e. disjoint union is $[1, 2]$:

$$I_1 \cup (2^{-1}I_2) \cup (2I_3) \cup I_4 = [1, 2],$$

which proves that $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (2^j K_c) = \mathbb{R}^+$ a.e. By Theorem 2, K_c is an H^2 -wavelet set. $\square$

We recently became aware of the paper [Maj] by G. Majchrowska, in which she also arrives at similar conclusions by a different method. The wavelet sets she obtained are also union of four intervals.

We end this section with the construction of a family of 5-interval H^2 -wavelet sets where some of the endpoints of the intervals depend on two independent real parameters.

Theorem 16. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < x < y < 1$ and $x + 1 > 2y$. That is, (x, y) is in the interior of the triangle with vertices $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$, $(\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $(1, 1)$. Then*

$$K_{x,y} = [x, y] \cup [1, 2x] \cup [2y, x + 1] \cup [y + 1, 2] \cup [2x + 2, 2y + 2] \quad (23)$$

is an H^2 -wavelet set.

Proof. Let us denote the intervals in the right hand side of (23) by $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_5$. The conditions on x and y ensure that these intervals are non-empty. Observe that the intervals $I_1, I_4 - 1, I_2, I_5 - 2, I_3$ are pairwise disjoint and $I_1 \cup (I_4 - 1) \cup I_2 \cup (I_5 - 2) \cup I_3 = [x, x + 1]$. Similarly, the intervals $I_1, 2^{-1}I_3, 2^{-2}I_5, 2^{-1}I_4, I_2$ are pairwise disjoint and $I_1 \cup (2^{-1}I_3) \cup (2^{-2}I_5) \cup (2^{-1}I_4) \cup I_2 = [x, 2x]$. Hence, by Theorem 2, $K_{x,y}$ is an H^2 -wavelet set.

7 Wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ accumulating in 0

By (D) in Theorem 1, a wavelet set K cannot contain a nondegenerate interval containing 0. It is natural to ask whether 0 can be an accumulation point of K . The answer is indeed yes. Some examples of such wavelet sets are the following:

(1) Madych [Mad] constructed an example of an MSF wavelet ψ such that $\hat{\psi}$ does not vanish in any neighbourhood of the origin so that 0 is an accumulation point of the corresponding wavelet set.

(2) Garrigós [Gar], in his Ph. D. thesis, gave an example of a wavelet set $K \subset [-2, \frac{1}{2}]$ with the same property.

(3) In [BGRW], the authors constructed wavelet sets K_ϵ for each ϵ , $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}$, such that $K_\epsilon \subset [-\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} + \epsilon]$, and 0 is an accumulation point of K_ϵ .

In this section we construct some families of wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ that accumulate in 0. First we construct a symmetric wavelet set having 0 as an accumulation point. Unfortunately, this set is unbounded. Next, we construct a family of bounded wavelet sets (but, not symmetric) with the same property. The final construction is of a family of bounded symmetric wavelet sets with the origin as an accumulation point, which provides a positive answer to a question of Garrigós.

7.1 A symmetric wavelet set that accumulates in 0

Here, we exhibit an example of a *symmetric* wavelet set having 0 as accumulation point. First, we prove that the example does what it is supposed to, then we will see how it fits into the geometric scheme developed in section 2 and how this sort of examples can be found.

Proposition 17. *Let K be the symmetric subset of $\mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$K^+ = \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \right) \cup \left(\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} J_n \right) \quad (24)$$

where

$$I_0 = \left[\frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2} \right], \quad I_n = \left[\frac{3 \cdot 2^{3n+2}}{2^{2n+3} - 1}, \frac{3 \cdot 2^{3n+1}}{2^{2n+2} - 1} \right], \quad n \geq 1$$

and

$$J_0 = \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{3} \right], \quad J_n = \left[\frac{3 \cdot 2^n}{2^{2n+4} - 1}, \frac{3 \cdot 2^{n-1}}{2^{2n+3} - 1} \right], \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then, K is a symmetric wavelet set having 0 as accumulation point.

Proof. Being the limit of J_n 's endpoints, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, 0 is an accumulation point for K^+ .

Now, we verify (D). For $n \geq 1$, let $\lambda_n = n + 1$, and let $\lambda_0 = 0$. Similarly, for $n \geq 0$, let $\mu_n = -(n + 2)$. Consider the intervals

$$H_n = 2^{-\lambda_n} I_n, \quad L_n = 2^{-\mu_n} J_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then, $H_0 = I_0$ and

$$H_n = \left[\frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n+1}}{2^{2n+3} - 1}, \frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n}}{2^{2n+2} - 1} \right]$$

if $n \geq 1$. Also, $L_0 = [\frac{4}{5}, \frac{4}{3}]$ and, if $n \geq 1$,

$$L_n = \left[\frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+4} - 1}, \frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n+1}}{2^{2n+3} - 1} \right].$$

Given intervals $A = [a, b]$ and $B = [c, d]$, let us write $A \rightarrow B$ if $b = c$, that is, if A is adjacent to B and precedes B on the real line. Then, the intervals H_n and L_n satisfy the relations

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1} \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow H_n \cdots \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow H_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow H_0.$$

Thus,

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} (L_n \cup H_n) = \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n+2}}{2^{2n+4} - 1}, \frac{3}{2} \right] = \left[\frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{2} \right] \text{ a.e.}$$

This proves (D), since $\frac{3}{2} = 2 \cdot \frac{3}{4}$.

In order to verify (T), let $l_0 = 1$, $l_n = 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}$, if $n \geq 1$, and let $A_n = I_n - l_n$. Then,

$$A_0 = \left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2} \right], \quad A_n = \left[\frac{3 \cdot 2^{n-1}}{2^{2n+3} - 1}, \frac{3 \cdot 2^{n-1}}{2^{2n+2} - 1} \right], \text{ if } n \geq 1,$$

and we have the adjacency relations

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow J_n \rightarrow A_n \cdots \rightarrow J_1 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow J_0 \rightarrow A_0. \quad (25)$$

Hence,

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} (J_n \cup A_n) = \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 \cdot 2^n}{2^{2n+4} - 1}, \frac{1}{2} \right] = \left[0, \frac{1}{2} \right] \text{ a.e.}$$

and (T) follows. $\square$

To find this sort of examples, it is natural to look for *infinite MSF polygons*, corresponding to infinitely many disjoint intervals in K , in which infinitely many points lie on the axis of the abscissas, so that infinitely many intervals are contained in $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. We see how this procedure works in our case, giving an alternative argument for the proof of Proposition 17, which also was the path leading to the wavelet set in (24).

Let $P_0 = (1, 1) = P[0, 1]$, $P_n = (x_n, y_n) = (2^{-(n+1)}, \frac{3}{4}) = P[n+1, 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}]$, if $n \geq 1$, and $Q_n = (u_n, v_n) = (2^{n+2}, 0) = P[-(n+2), 0]$, if $n \geq 0$. With the notation of section 3, let

$$\alpha_n = s(P_n, Q_n), \quad \beta_n = s(P_{n+1}, Q_n).$$

Also, set $\beta_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$. We have the relations

$$0 < \cdots < \alpha_n < \beta_{n-1} < \alpha_{n-1} < \cdots < \beta_0 < \alpha_0 < \beta_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$$

that replace (7). With the same notation as above,

$$A_n = [\alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}], \quad J_n = [\beta_n, \alpha_n].$$

As in §2, consider the intervals $[\alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}] + \frac{y_n}{x_n}$ and $[\beta_n, \alpha_n] + \frac{v_n}{u_n}$. These are, respectively, the intervals I_n and J_n in the statement of the proposition. Their union is K^+ , where K is our perspective symmetric wavelet set. Now, condition (T) is satisfied, by construction. Moreover, since the intervals $x_n([\alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}] + \frac{y_n}{x_n}) = A_n$ and $u_n([\beta_n, \alpha_n] + \frac{v_n}{u_n}) = J_n$ satisfy the adjacency relations (25), to verify condition (D), it suffices to check that

$$2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\alpha_n + \frac{y_n}{x_n}) = x_0(\beta_{-1} + \frac{y_0}{x_0}) = \frac{3}{2}$$

which in fact holds. This last condition replaces (6), in the present example.

7.2 A family of bounded wavelet sets accumulating in 0

To construct new wavelet sets from the known ones, the concepts of dilation equivalence and translation equivalence of sets will be useful.

Definition 18. ([HW]) A measurable set A is said to be *translation equivalent* to a measurable set B if there exists a measurable partition $\{A_n\}$ of A and $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{A_n + k_n\}$ is a partition of B . Similarly, a measurable set A is *dilation equivalent* to a measurable set B if there exists a measurable partition $\{A'_n\}$ of A and $j_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\{2^{j_n} A'_n\}$ is a partition of B .

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following

Corollary 19. ([HW]) *Let K and W be subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, and W is both translation and dilation equivalent to K . Then W is a wavelet set if and only if K is so.*

We now construct a family of bounded wavelet sets having the origin as an accumulation point so that the associated wavelets are band-limited and their Fourier transforms are discontinuous at the origin. Recall that a function is called band-limited if its Fourier transform has compact support.

Let $n \geq 2$. Put

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{2^{n-2}}{2^n - 1}, & b_n &= 2a_n = \frac{2^{n-1}}{2^n - 1}, \\ c_n &= \frac{2^{n-1}(2^{n-1} - 1)}{2^n - 1}, & d_n &= \frac{2^{2n-2}}{2^n - 1}, \\ e_n &= \frac{2^{n-1} - 1}{2^n - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$L = [-d_n, -c_n], \quad M = [-e_n, -a_n] \quad \text{and} \quad R = [a_n, b_n].$$

Observe that $[-e_n, -a_n] \cup ([-d_n, -c_n] + 2^{n-2}) \cup [a_n, b_n] = [-e_n, b_n]$ so that $\coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} ([-e_n, b_n] + k) = \mathbb{R}$.

Similarly, $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j [a_n, b_n] = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j ([-e_n, -a_n] \cup 2^{-n} [-d_n, -c_n]) = \mathbb{R}^-$. Therefore, $W_n = L \cup M \cup R$ is a wavelet set, by Theorem 1.

Let ϵ be a real number such that $0 < \epsilon < \frac{a_n}{2}$. Define the following sets:

$$P_1 = \left[\frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}, \frac{a_n}{2} + \epsilon \right], \quad P_2 = [a_n + 2\epsilon, b_n], \quad P_3 = [d_n, d_n + 2\epsilon].$$

To make P_2 a nonempty set we need $2\epsilon < a_n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} X_0 &= P_1 - 2^{n-2}, & Y_0 &= \frac{1}{2^n} X_0, \\ X_l &= Y_{l-1} - 2^{n-2}, & Y_l &= \frac{1}{2^{n+l}} X_l, \quad l \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now define the set

$$W_{n,\epsilon} = \left(L \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} Y_l \right) \cup M \cup (P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_3). \quad (26)$$

Theorem 20. *For each $n \geq 2$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{a_n}{2}$, the set $W_{n,\epsilon}$ is a bounded wavelet set having 0 as an accumulation point.*

Proof. Since 0 is the limit of the endpoints of the sets Y_l , as $l \rightarrow \infty$, it is an accumulation point of $W_{n,\epsilon}$. We now proceed to prove that $W_{n,\epsilon}$ is a wavelet set. In view of Corollary 19, it is enough to show that $W_{n,\epsilon}$ is translation and dilation equivalent to the wavelet set W_n .

First of all, we show by induction that $X_l \subset L$ for all $l \geq 0$. Note that $P_1 \subset [-a_n, a_n]$. So,

$$X_0 = P_1 - 2^{n-2} \subset [-a_n, a_n] - 2^{n-2} = [-d_n, -c_n] = L.$$

Now, assume that $X_m \subset L$. Then,

$$Y_m = \frac{1}{2^{m+n}} X_m \subset \left[-\frac{d_n}{2^{m+n}}, -\frac{c_n}{2^{m+n}} \right] \subset [-a_n, a_n].$$

Therefore,

$$X_{m+1} = Y_m - 2^{n-2} \subset L.$$

The interval X_0 lies inside $[-2^{n-2}, -c_n]$ and $\{X_l : l \geq 1\}$ lie in $[-d_n, -2^{n-2}]$. Further, X_{l+1} lies to the right of $X_l, l \geq 1$. The intervals $Y_l, l \geq 0$ lie in $\frac{1}{2^l} [-a_n, -\frac{a_n}{2}]$. Also observe that $\{X_l : l \geq 0\}$ and $\{Y_l : l \geq 0\}$ are disjoint collections.

Now, we show that the sets $W_{n,\epsilon}$ and W_n are dilation equivalent. We have

$$2P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \frac{1}{2^n} P_3 = \left[a_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}}, a_n + 2\epsilon \right] \cup [a_n + 2\epsilon, b_n] \cup \left[a_n, a_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}} \right]$$

$$= [a_n, b_n] = R$$

and

$$\left(L \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+l} Y_l\right) = \left(L \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l\right) = L.$$

The last equality follows since $X_l \subset L$ for all $l \geq 0$. The set M appears in both the partitions of W_n and $W_{n,\epsilon}$.

To see the translation equivalence of the sets $W_{n,\epsilon}$ and W_n , observe that

$$P_2 \cup (P_3 - 2^{n-2}) = [a_n + 2\epsilon, b_n] \cup [a_n, a_n + 2\epsilon] = R$$

and

$$\left(L \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} (Y_l - 2^{n-1})\right) \cup (P_1 - 2^{n-2}) =$$

$$\left(L \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} X_l\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} X_l\right) \cup X_0 = L.$$

Again, M appears in both the partitions of W_n and $W_{n,\epsilon}$. Since the set $W_{n,\epsilon}$ is translation and dilation equivalent to the wavelet set W_n , we have proved that $W_{n,\epsilon}$ is a wavelet set. $\square$

7.3 A family of bounded symmetric wavelet sets accumulating in 0

In [Gar], the question of the existence of a wavelet ψ of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following three properties was asked:

- (i) ψ is band-limited, i.e., $\hat{\psi}$ has compact support,
- (ii) $\hat{\psi}$ is even, and
- (iii) $\hat{\psi}$ does not vanish in any neighbourhood of the origin.

Examples of wavelets satisfying any two of the above three properties can be constructed. For example, the Shannon wavelet satisfies (i) and (ii) but not (iii). The wavelet corresponding to the wavelet set constructed in § 7.1 satisfies (ii) and (iii) but not (i). The wavelets associated with the wavelet sets of § 7.2, as well as the wavelet sets of [Mad], [Gar] and [BGRW] referred above, satisfy (i) and (iii) but not (ii).

In this section we construct a family of wavelets having all the three properties listed above. These wavelets are again MSF wavelets and so we construct the associated wavelet sets. To get these wavelet sets we will suitably modify the method presented in § 7.2.

For $n \geq 2$, let a_n, b_n, c_n, d_n and e_n be as in § 7.2. Let

$$L_1 = [-\frac{1}{2}, -a_n], \quad L_2 = [-d_n, -2^{n-2}], \quad R_1 = [a_n, \frac{1}{2}], \quad \text{and} \quad R_2 = [2^{n-2}, d_n].$$

Let

$$K_n = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup R_1 \cup R_2.$$

By a simple calculation, we have

$$(L_2 + 2^{n-1}) \cup R_2 \cup (L_1 + (2^{n-2} + 1)) \cup (R_1 + 2^{n-2}) = [c_n, c_n + 1].$$

Hence, $\coprod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (K_n + k) = \mathbb{R}$. Also, observe that $(2^n R_1) \cup R_2 = [\frac{d_n}{2}, d_n]$. Hence, $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (R_1 \cup R_2) = \mathbb{R}^+$. By symmetry, $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j (L_1 \cup L_2) = \mathbb{R}^-$, which shows that $\coprod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j K_n = \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, by Theorem 1, K_n is a wavelet set.

For $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2^{n-1}-1}{2^n-1} \right) = \frac{e_n}{4}$, construct the following sets:

$$S_1 = \left[\frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n}, \frac{a_n}{2} + \epsilon \right], \quad S_2 = [a_n + 2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}], \quad S_3 = [d_n, d_n + 2\epsilon]$$

and

$$T_i = -S_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

To make S_2 a nonempty set, we need to take $a_n + 2\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ which is equivalent to $\epsilon < \frac{e_n}{4}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= S_1 + 2^{n-2}, & F_0 &= \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} E_0, \\ E_l &= F_{l-1} + 2^{n-2}, & F_l &= \frac{1}{2^{n+l+1}} E_l, \quad l \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$G_l = -E_l \quad \text{and} \quad H_l = -F_l, \quad \text{for all } l \geq 0.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} K_{n,\epsilon} &= \left(R_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} F_l \right) \cup (S_1 \cup S_2 \cup S_3) \\ &\quad \cup \left(L_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} G_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} H_l \right) \cup (T_1 \cup T_2 \cup T_3). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 21. *For each $n \geq 2$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{\epsilon_n}{4}$, the set $K_{n,\epsilon}$ is a bounded symmetric wavelet set having 0 as an accumulating point.*

Proof. Clearly, the origin is an accumulation point of the set $K_{n,\epsilon}$, being the limit (as $l \rightarrow \infty$) of the endpoints of the intervals F_l , $l \geq 0$. As in the previous theorem, it is enough to show that $K_{n,\epsilon}$ is translation and dilation equivalent to the wavelet set K_n . Again, we can show by induction that $E_l \subset R_2$, for all $l \geq 0$. By symmetry of the set $K_{n,\epsilon}$, it follows that $G_l \subset L_2$ for all $l \geq 0$.

The intervals E_l , $l \geq 0$ lie inside the interval $[2^{n-2}, d_n]$ and E_{l+1} lies to the left of E_l for all $l \geq 0$. Similarly, the intervals F_l , $l \geq 0$ lie in $\frac{1}{2}[\frac{a_n}{4}, \frac{a_n}{2}]$ so that F_{l+1} lies to the left of F_l for all $l \geq 0$. Similar statements are true for the intervals G_l and F_l , $l \geq 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \frac{1}{2^n}S_3 &= \left[a_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}}, a_n + 2\epsilon \right] \cup \left[a_n + 2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2} \right] \cup \left[a_n, a_n + \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}} \right] \\ &= \left[a_n, \frac{1}{2} \right] = R_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(R_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{n+l+1} F_l \right) = \left(R_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) = R_2.$$

Similarly for L_1 and L_2 . This proves the dilation equivalence of $K_{n,\epsilon}$ and K_n .

The translation equivalence of the sets $K_{n,\epsilon}$ and K_n follows from the following observation.

$$S_2 \cup (S_3 - 2^{n-2}) = [a_n + 2\epsilon, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [a_n, a_n + 2\epsilon] = R_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(R_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} (F_l + 2^{n-2}) \right) \cup (S_1 + 2^{n-2}) \\ = \left(R_2 \setminus \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{l=1}^{\infty} E_l \right) \cup E_0 = R_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for L_1 and L_2 . Therefore, $K_{n,\epsilon}$ is a wavelet set. $\square$

Let $\hat{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}$ be the characteristic function of the set $K_{n,\epsilon}$. Then, $\psi_{n,\epsilon}$ is a band-limited wavelet such that $\hat{\psi}_{n,\epsilon}$ is even and does not vanish in any neighbourhood of the origin.

Remark 22. The presence of the real parameter ϵ in the family of the wavelet sets $K_{n,\epsilon}$ proves, in particular, that there are uncountably many symmetric wavelet sets of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a fact already proved in Theorem 14.

Table 1. (T1,D1)				Table 2. (T2,D2), $k = 0$			Table 3. (T2,D1)				Table 4. (T1,D2)			
r	k	s	l	r	s	l	r	k	s	l	r	k	s	l
1	1	2	–	1	2	–	1	0	2	–	1	1	s	$2^s - 2$
		3	8		3	3			3	5	2	1	3	–
		4	16–19		4	6–9			4	–			4	–
		5	32–40		5	11–19			5	21			5	–
		6	64–83		6	22–41			6	–			6	20
		7	128–168		7	43–83			7	85	3	1	4	–
		8	256–339		2	–			8	–			5	–
		9	512–680			3,4			1	2			6	8
		10	1024–1363			5–7				3			7	–
						10–17				4			8	–
2	1	3	3		6	19–35	2	0	3	2			9	72
		4	6,7		7	–			4	–				
		5	11–16		5	–			5	–				
		6	22–35			3			–	–				
		7	43–71			5–7			–	–				
	2	3	5			9–15			5	9				
		4	11,12			17–31								
		5	21–25											
	5	3,4	–											
		5	52											
10	1	11	3											
		12	5–7											
		20	1026–2047											
		25	32801–65567											
20	1	25	33–63											

References

- [Aus] P. Auscher. *Solution of two problems on wavelets*, J. Geom. Anal., **5**, no. 2 (1995), pp. 181–236.
- [BGRW] L. Brandolini, G. Garrigós, Z. Rzesotnik, and G. Weiss. *The behaviour at the origin of a class of band-limited wavelets*, Contemporary Mathematics, **247** (1999), pp. 75–91.
- [FW] X. Fang, and X. Wang. *Construction of Minimally Supported Frequency Wavelets*, J. Fourier Anal. and Appl., **2**, no. 4 (1996), pp. 315–327.
- [Gar] G. Garrigós. *The characterization of wavelets and related functions and the connectivity of α -localized wavelets on $\mathbb{R}$* , Ph.D. Thesis, Washington University, St.louis (1998).
- [HKLS] Y. Ha, H. Kang, J. Lee, and J.K. Seo. *Unimodular Wavelets for L^2 and the Hardy space H^2* , Michigan Math. J., **41** (1994), pp. 345–371.

- [HW] E. Hernández, G. Weiss. *A First Course on Wavelets*, CRC Press (1996).
- [HWW] E. Hernández, X. Wang, and G. Weiss. *Smoothing Minimally Supported Frequency (MSF) Wavelets: Part II*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **3**, no.1 (1997), pp. 23-41.
- [Mad] W. R. Madych. *Some elementary properties of multiresolution analyses of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$* , in *Wavelets-A Tutorial in Theory and Applications* (C.K. Chui, Ed.), Academic Press (1992), pp. 259-294.
- [Maj] G. Majchrowska. *Some new examples of wavelets in the Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{R})$* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math., **49**, no. 2 (2001), pp. 141-149.